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ABSTRACT- Measuring of temperature control is a critical need in many industrial plants. The aim of this paper is to do the comparative study of fuzzy logic controller and conventional PID controller for temperature control. In this paper performance analysis of the conventional PID controller and fuzzy logic controller has been done by the use of MATLAB and simulink. From the result the fuzzy logic controller has small overshoot and fast response as compared to PID controller. Fuzzy control is based on fuzzy logic provides a means of converting a linguistic control strategy based on expert knowledge into an automatic control strategy.
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I. Introduction

The temperature control is a key part of the machine. So this controlling process is achieved by designing the controller for the transfer function with the desired set point. Proportional integral derivative (PID) Controller are widely used in control application, but its performance is very poor when applied to system. The performance of PID controller is evaluated in terms of rise time and steady state error. The tuning of PID controller is difficult due to insufficient knowledge of the parameters of the system. Sometimes the PID control makes overshoot and also with regard to the temperature control system the characteristics of which are distributed parameters, non-linear, large time delay and large inertia, the conventional PID controller is very difficult to obtain satisfactory control results. To solve this problem, fuzzy logic technology is used. The temperature control system is playing an important role in industrial production. Recently, lots of researches have been investigated for the temperature control system based on various control strategies like ZN method but fuzzy is the advanced controlling method. The temperature control system based on fuzzy PID is proposed in this paper. The new algorithm based on fuzzy PID can improve the performance of the system. Also, it's fit for the complicated variable temperature control system. The simulation results show that the validity of the proposed strategy is more reliable and effective to control temperature.

II. Design consideration

A PID controller is being desired for a higher order system with transfer function

\[ G(s) = \frac{10}{s(s^2 + 6s + 8)} \] (1)

We have proposed design of (i) PID controller (ii) fuzzy controller so that the closed loop system exhibit small overshoot \( M_p \) and settling time \( t_s \) with zero steady state error \( e_{ss} \).

III. Design of PID Controller

A simple strategy which widely used for industrial control is PID controller. But the parameter selection for the Kp, Ki and Kd gain is always difficult. Many tuning algorithms are developed, but it is still difficult to select particular algorithm for designing PID gain values for the particular system for particular process control. Fig.2 shows the mathematical description of general PID controller.
U(t) = Control signal applied to plant
KP = Proportional gain
KI = Integral gain
KD = Derivative gain.
The selection of these KP, KI, and KD values will cause for the variations in the observed response with respect to
the desired response. In general, the dependency will be as per the Table.1.

Table I. Effect of increasing parameter values independently on the response

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Rise Time (T_r)</th>
<th>Overshoot (Mp)</th>
<th>Settling Time (Ts)</th>
<th>Error (Ess)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>KP</td>
<td>Decrease</td>
<td>Increase</td>
<td>Small Change</td>
<td>Decrease</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KI</td>
<td>Decrease</td>
<td>Increase</td>
<td>Increase</td>
<td>Decrease Significantly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KD</td>
<td>Minor Decrease</td>
<td>Decrease</td>
<td>Decrease</td>
<td>No effect</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

From the table, it is observed that PD controller gives the good steady state conditions, PI controller helps in
reducing steady state error and PID controller is used to achieve all the advantages of individual control
actions. Hence we use PID controller for temperature control in this paper.

IV. SYSTEM DESIGN WITH FLC
Simulink model of the fuzzy controller and the plant with unity feedback is shown in Figure.2
Fuzzy logic controller is easy to implement. Fuzzy logic is one of the most successful technologies in industrial control system because it is very simple. Fuzzy logic refers to a logical system that generalizes classical two valued logic for reasoning under uncertainty. Fuzzy control system is a control system based on fuzzy logic. Fuzzy logic is a mathematical system that analyzes analog input values in terms of logical variables that take on values between 0 and 1 as shown in figure 3, in contrast to classical crisp or digital logic, which operates on discrete values of either 0 or 1 (OFF or ON). Defuzzification is the process of producing a quantifiable result in fuzzy logic, given fuzzy sets and corresponding membership degrees.

Figure 4 shows the system architecture with fuzzy logic controller. Fuzzy logic controller has two inputs namely error and change in error and produces control signal according to the fuzzy inference structure (FIS) designed with fuzzy rules. Each of the input and output quantity is described with its corresponding membership function.
There are three principle elements to a fuzzy logic controller
A) Fuzzification module (fuzzifier)
B) Rule base and inference engine
C) Defuzzification module (Defuzzifier)

V. Principles of Fuzzy Modelling

The general algorithm for a fuzzy system designer can be synthesized as follows.

A) Fuzzification
1) Normalize of the universes of discourses for the fuzzy input and output vector.
2) Convert crisp data into fuzzy data or membership function.
3) Calculate the membership function for every crisp value of the fuzzy input.

B) Fuzzy Inference
4) Combine membership function with the rule to drive the fuzzy output.
5) Calculation of the membership function for every crisp value of the fuzzy input.

C) Defuzzification
6) Calculate the fuzzy output, using suitable defuzzification method.

A. FUZZY MEMBERSHIP FUNCTION

A membership function for a fuzzy set A on the universe of discourse X is defined as \( \mu_A: X \rightarrow [0,1] \), where each element of X is mapped to a value between 0 and 1. This value, called membership value or degree of membership, quantifies the grade of membership of the element in X to the fuzzy set A.

B. TYPES OF INFERENCE SYSTEM
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Mamdani-Type Fuzzy Inference - is defined for the toolbox, expects the output membership functions to be fuzzy sets. After the aggregation process, there is a fuzzy set for each output variable that needs defuzzification.
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- Figure 6. Selection of number of I/O for designing FIS for FLC

Sugeno-Type Fuzzy Inference - This topic discusses the so-called Sugeno, or Takagi-Sugeno-Kang, method of fuzzy inference. Introduced in 1985, it is similar to the Mamdani method in many respects. The first two parts of the fuzzy inference process, fuzzifying the inputs and applying the fuzzy operator, are exactly the same. The main difference between Mamdani and Sugeno is that the Sugeno output membership functions are either linear or constant.

C. FUZZY RULES FOR DEVELOPING FIS

Fuzzy inference is the process of formulating the mapping from a given input to an output using fuzzy logic. The mapping then provides a basis from which decisions can be made, or patterns discerned. The process of fuzzy inference involves all of the pieces that are described in the previous sections: Membership Functions, Logical Operations, and If-Then Rules.
VI. SIMULATION RESULTS
The figure 6 and 7 shows the response of conventional PID controller and the response of the fuzzy logic controller to the step input.

VII. Conclusion
The response of the PID controller is oscillatory which can damage the system. But the response of the fuzzy logic controller is free from these dangerous oscillations in the transient period. Hence the proposed FLC is better than the conventionally used PID controller.
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